

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|----------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/743,569 | 12/22/2003 | Arnold L. Demain | P-8472-US | 7974 |
| 49443 7590 03/28/2007 PEARL COHEN ZEDEK LATZER, LLP | | | EXAMINER | |
| 1500 BROADWAY 12TH FLOOR NEW YORK, NY 10036 | | • | MARX, IRENE | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1651 | |
| | | | | |
| SHORTENED STATUTORY | Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE | ` MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| 3 MONTHS | | 03/28/2007 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| | 10/743,569 | DEMAIN ET AL. | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| | Irene Marx | 1651 | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE | N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | |
| Status | | • | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on | action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | |
| 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-22 and 25-34 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-20 is/are withdrawn 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 21,22 and 25-34 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ access Applicant may not request that any objection to the Green Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11 ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11 ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 12 ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 13 ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 14 ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 15 ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 15 ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 15 ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 15 ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 15 ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 15 ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 15 ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 15 ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 15 ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 15 ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 15 ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 15 ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 15 ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 15 ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 15 ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 15 ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by th | relection requirement. r. epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the light of the drawing(s) is objected to by the light of the drawing(s) is objected to by the light of the drawing(s) is objected to by the light of the drawing(s) is objected to by the light of the drawing(s) is objected to by the light of the drawing(s) is objected to by the light of the drawing(s) is objected to by the light of the l | e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | · | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Di 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other: | ate | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/743,569

Art Unit: 1651

DETAILED ACTION

The amendment filed 3/12/07 is acknowledged. Claims 21-22 and 25-34 are being considered on the merits.

Claims 1-20 are withdrawn from consideration as directed to a non-elected invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 21-22 and 25-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Castell (I) or (II) taken with Braun *et al.* (FEMS Microbiology Letters vol. 184 (2000), pages 29-33) and Rolfe *et al.* (Infection and Immunity, 1979, vol. 25, pages 191-201).

Castell (I) and (II) each discloses a culture medium substantially free of animal products and containing *C. difficile*, comprising a compound derived from a vegetable and an iron source. See, e.g., Table 1, respectively, Table 2, page 466.

The references differ from the claimed invention in that a grass extract rather than a soy hydrolyzate is used in the medium and in that yeast extract and/or thioglycolate are not disclosed.

However, Braun et al. disclose a culture medium containing C. difficile, comprising yeast extract and a compound derived from a vegetable, such as trypticase soy broth, which comprises a soy peptone See, e.g., page 31, col. 2, paragraph 1. In addition Rolfe et al. discloses the cultivation of C. difficile on thioglycolate medium which contains sodium thioglycolate. See, e.g., Table 1. The thioglycolate medium comprises yeast extract and enzymatic digests of proteins, which may be soy and/or casein. Even though trypticase soy broth and thioglycolate medium may comprise a casein hydrolyzate, for example, these product of animal origin are substantially degraded to amino acids and peptides prior to addition to the culture medium. It is

Application/Control Number: 10/743,569

Art Unit: 1651

submitted that their peptide and amino acid composition is not clearly distinguishable from similar hydrolyzates of vegetable origin, in the absence of evidence to the contrary. In any event, each of the Braun *et al.* and Rolfe *et al.* demonstrate that yeast extract is a well-known additive for culture media of *C. difficile* and Rolfe *et al.* additionally demonstrates that sodium thioglycolate is know to be used in media for *C. difficile*.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the claimed invention was made to modify the culture media of Castell (I) and (II) by adding a soy hydrolyzate as taught by Braun *et al.*, and yeast extract as taught by Braun *et al.* and Rolfe *et al.* as additional sources of nutrients as well as adding sodium thioglycolate as disclosed by Rolfe *et al.* for the expected benefit of providing a suitable nutrient medium for the anaerobic cultivation of *C. difficile* obtained from clinical samples, for example.

Thus, the claimed invention as a whole was clearly *prima facie* obvious, especially in the absence of evidence to the contrary.

Applicants' arguments are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.

No claim is allowed.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Irene Marx whose telephone number is (571) 272-0919. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (6:30-3:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael G. Wityshyn can be reached on 571-272-0926. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/743,569

Art Unit: 1651

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Irene Marx
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1651

Page 4